

Hexaaquadibromidoeuropium(III) bromide, $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$

Constantin Hoch* and Arndt Simon

Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: c.hoch@fkf.mpg.de

Received 5 May 2008; accepted 13 May 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{Eu}-\text{O}) = 0.004 \text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.029; wR factor = 0.049; data-to-parameter ratio = 22.4.

The title compound crystallizes with the $\text{GdCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ structure type, exhibiting discrete $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^+$ cations as the main building blocks, linked with isolated bromide anions via $\text{H}\cdots\text{Br}$ hydrogen bonds to form a complex framework. The Eu atom and one Br atom each lie on a twofold rotation axis.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Bärnighausen *et al.* (1965); Bell & Smith (1990); Burns & Peterson (1971); Demyanets *et al.* (1974); Duhlev *et al.* (1988); Graeber *et al.* (1966); Habenschuss & Spedding (1980); Junk *et al.* (1999); Kolitsch (2006); Marezio *et al.* (1961); Reuter *et al.* (1994); Tegenfeldt *et al.* (1979); Wickleder & Meyer (1995).

Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$	$V = 552.08 (8) \text{ \AA}^3$
$M_r = 499.79$	$Z = 2$
Monoclinic, $P2/c$	$\text{Mo K}\alpha$ radiation
$a = 8.1672 (7) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 16.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$b = 6.7538 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 293 (2) \text{ K}$
$c = 12.5451 (10) \text{ \AA}$	$0.25 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 127.077 (5)^\circ$	

Data collection

Stoe IPDSII diffractometer
Absorption correction: numerical
[X -RED (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and
 X -SHAPE (Stoe & Cie, 1999)]
 $T_{\min} = 0.065$, $T_{\max} = 0.155$

10921 measured reflections
1613 independent reflections
1397 reflections with $I > 2s(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.067$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.049$
 $S = 1.11$
1613 reflections

72 parameters
All H-atom parameters refined
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.14 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.10 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$).

Eu1–Br1	2.9449 (5)	Eu1–O2	2.422 (3)
Eu1–O1	2.424 (3)	Eu1–O3	2.388 (3)
Br1–Eu1–O1	146.89 (8)	O2–Eu1–O2 ⁱ	146.8 (2)
Br1–Eu1–O1 ⁱ	76.21 (9)	O3–Eu1–O3 ⁱ	84.5 (2)
Br1–Eu1–O2	77.33 (8)	O1–Eu1–O2	72.6 (1)
Br1–Eu1–O2 ⁱ	78.22 (8)	O1–Eu1–O2 ⁱ	122.0 (1)
Br1–Eu1–O3	107.21 (9)	O1–Eu1–O3	75.8 (1)
Br1–Eu1–O3 ⁱ	143.18 (8)	O1–Eu1–O3 ⁱ	69.3 (1)
Br1–Eu1–Br1 ⁱ	84.41 (2)	O2–Eu1–O3	70.9 (1)
O1–Eu1–O1 ⁱ	132.3 (2)	O2–Eu1–O3 ⁱ	138.6 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
O1–H11 \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	2.53 (8)	3.343 (4)	168 (6)
O1–H12 \cdots Br1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	2.52 (13)	3.333 (4)	165 (6)
O2–H21 \cdots Br1 ^{iv}	0.82 (2)	2.49 (10)	3.307 (4)	172 (6)
O2–H22 \cdots Br2 ^v	0.83 (2)	2.63 (11)	3.417 (4)	161 (6)
O3–H31 \cdots Br1 ^{vi}	0.83 (2)	2.46 (8)	3.288 (4)	173 (6)
O3–H32 \cdots Br2	0.83 (2)	2.52 (11)	3.328 (5)	163 (6)

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x, -y + 1, -z$; (iii) $x - 1, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$; (v) $x, y - 1, z$; (vi) $x, y + 1, z$.

Data collection: *X*-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2006); cell refinement: *X*-AREA; data reduction: *X*-AREA; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DRAWXTL (Finger *et al.*, 2007); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2003).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: MG2051).

References

- Bärnighausen, H., Brauer, G. & Schultz, N. (1965). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **338**, 250–265.
- Bell, A. M. T. & Smith, A. J. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **C46**, 960–962.
- Burns, J. H. & Peterson, J. R. (1971). *Inorg. Chem.* **10**, 147–151.
- Demyanets, L. N., Bukin, V. I., Emelyanova, E. N. & Ivanov, V. I. (1974). *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.* **18**, 806–808.
- Duhlev, R., Brown, I. D. & Faggiani, R. (1988). *Acta Cryst.* **C44**, 1693–1696.
- Finger, L. W., Kroeker, M. & Töby, B. H. (2007). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **40**, 188–192.
- Graeber, E. J., Conrad, G. H. & Duliere, S. F. (1966). *Acta Cryst.* **21**, 1012–1013.
- Habenschuss, A. & Spedding, F. H. (1980). *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **9**, 71–75.
- Junk, P. C., Semenova, L. I., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1999). *Austr. J. Chem.* **52**, 531–538.
- Kolitsch, U. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* **E62**, i122–i123.
- Marezio, M., Plettlinger, H. A. & Zachariasen, W. H. (1961). *Acta Cryst.* **14**, 234–236.
- Reuter, G., Fink, H. & Seifert, H. J. (1994). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **620**, 665–671.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
- Stoe & Cie (1999). *X*-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (2001). *X*-RED. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (2006). *X*-AREA. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tegenfeldt, J., Tellgren, R., Pedersen, B. & Olovsson, I. (1979). *Acta Cryst.* **B35**, 1679–1682.
- Wickleder, M. S. & Meyer, G. (1995). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **621**, 457–463.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, i35 [doi:[10.1107/S1600536808014359](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536808014359)]

Hexaaquadibromidoeuropium(III) bromide, $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$

C. Hoch and A. Simon

Comment

$[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2/c (No. 13) and is isotypic with the $\text{GdCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ structure type (Marezio *et al.*, 1961), like many chloride hexahydrates $M\text{Cl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with $M = \text{Y}$ (Bell & Smith, 1990), Ce (Reuter *et al.*, 1994), Nd (Habenschuss & Spedding, 1980), Sm - Tm (Graeber *et al.*, 1966), Am, Bk (Burns & Peterson, 1971), and two bromide hexahydrates $M\text{Br}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($M = \text{Pr}, \text{Dy}$, Junk *et al.*, 1999).

The Eu atoms in $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$ are coordinated by six water molecules and two bromine atoms forming a distorted square antiprism (Fig. 1). Hydrogen bonds H—Br connect the $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^+$ cations with the Br^- counter-anions to a network. The bromine atom Br1 belonging to the cationic complex is surrounded by four, the isolated bromine atom Br2 by six hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). A view of the unit cell of $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$ is given in Fig. 3.

The H—Br distances (2.46–2.63 Å) are in good agreement with those in other bromide hydrates (e.g. 2.38–2.54 Å in $[\text{Sc}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{OH})]\text{Br}_2$, Kolitsch, 2006; 2.32–2.80 Å in $[\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]_2[\text{CdBr}_6]$, Duhlev *et al.*, 1988; 2.40–2.83 Å in $\text{NaBr} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, Tegenfeldt *et al.*, 1979). The $\text{Eu}^{\text{III}}—\text{O}$ distances in $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$ range from 2.39 to 2.42 Å and thus are very similar to those in $\text{EuCl}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2.39–2.40 Å, Reuter *et al.*, 1994), $\text{EuCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2.39–2.43 Å, Graeber *et al.*, 1966), or $\text{EuCl}(\text{OH})_2$ (2.35–2.44 Å, Demyanets *et al.*, 1974). The same holds for the $\text{Eu}^{\text{III}}—\text{Br}$ distances in $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$ (2.94 Å) which lie between those in Na_3EuBr_6 (2.83 Å, Wickleder & Meyer, 1995) and those in EuOBr (3.19 Å, Bärnighausen *et al.*, 1965).

Experimental

Colourless single crystals of $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$ were obtained by recrystallizing the commercially available product ("EuBr₃·X H₂O", Alfa Aesar, 99.99%) under argon from degassed aqueous HBr solution by slow cooling of a solution saturated at *ca* 60 °C to room temperature.

Refinement

The positions of all hydrogen atoms were identified from the difference Fourier map, close to their ideal positions. Their refinement was performed applying a *DFIX* command (Sheldrick, 2008), restricting the O—H bond lengths to 0.82 ± 0.02 Å.

supplementary materials

Figures




Fig. 1. View of the cationic $[\text{Eu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6\text{Br}_2]^+$ unit in $[\text{Eu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6\text{Br}_2]\text{Br}$, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 90% probability level. H atoms are shown as black spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y, 1/2 - z$.]




Fig. 2. View of the H—Br contacts in $[\text{Eu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6\text{Br}(2)_2]\text{Br}(1)$, left: four hydrogen bonds link $\text{Br}1$ to water molecules, right: six hydrogen bonds link $\text{Br}2$ to water molecules. All displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 90% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y, 1/2 - z$; (ii) $-x, -y, -z$; (iii) $x, -y, 1/2 + z$.]




Fig. 3. View along (010) on the crystal structure of $[\text{Eu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6\text{Br}_2]\text{Br}$. Small black spheres represent H atoms, large black spheres represent Eu atoms, grey spheres represent Br atoms, light grey spheres represent O atoms. Grey polyhedra represent the coordination of H atoms around Br atoms.

Hexaaquabromidoeuropium(III) bromide

Crystal data

$[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]\text{Br}$	$F_{000} = 456$
$M_r = 499.79$	$D_x = 3.006 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2yc	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 8.1672 (7) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 10367 reflections
$b = 6.7538 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.0\text{--}32.1^\circ$
$c = 12.5451 (10) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 16.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 127.077 (5)^\circ$	$T = 293 (2) \text{ K}$
$V = 552.08 (8) \text{ \AA}^3$	Irregular polyhedron, clear colourless
$Z = 2$	$0.25 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Stoe IPDSII diffractometer	1613 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1397 reflections with $I > 2s(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.067$
$T = 293(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^\circ$
ω scans (in two runs with $\varphi_1 = 0^\circ$ and $\varphi_2 = 90^\circ$)	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.0^\circ$
Absorption correction: numerical	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

[X-RED (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and X-SHAPE (Stoe & Cie, 1999)]

$T_{\min} = 0.065$, $T_{\max} = 0.155$
10921 measured reflections

$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
 $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$

$wR(F^2) = 0.049$

$S = 1.11$

1613 reflections

72 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map

All H-atom parameters refined

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0169P)^2]$$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.14 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.10 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$$

Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008),
 $F_c^* = k F_c [1 + 0.001 x F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{1/4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.0409 (10)

Special details

Experimental. The title compound is a commercially available chemical (Alfa Aesar) and was recrystallized under argon from degassed aqueous HBr solution. A suitable single-crystal was sealed with mother liquor in a thin-walled glass capillary.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Eu1	0.50000	0.16454 (4)	0.25000	0.0170 (1)
Br1	0.70613 (6)	-0.15845 (7)	0.44669 (4)	0.0295 (1)
Br2	0.00000	0.63151 (9)	0.25000	0.0318 (2)
O1	0.1772 (5)	0.3097 (5)	0.0676 (3)	0.0320 (7)
O2	0.2413 (5)	0.0620 (5)	0.2757 (4)	0.0313 (7)
O3	0.4434 (5)	0.4262 (5)	0.3524 (4)	0.0335 (7)
H11	0.148 (11)	0.336 (10)	-0.006 (4)	0.06 (2)*
H12	0.072 (9)	0.258 (14)	0.050 (10)	0.10 (3)*
H21	0.253 (12)	0.098 (11)	0.342 (5)	0.07 (2)*
H22	0.183 (11)	-0.046 (6)	0.253 (9)	0.09 (3)*
H31	0.518 (10)	0.526 (7)	0.376 (8)	0.08 (2)*

supplementary materials

H32 0.321 (5) 0.456 (12) 0.315 (8) 0.09 (3)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Eu1	0.0168 (1)	0.0180 (1)	0.0172 (1)	0.000	0.0109 (1)	0.000
Br1	0.0295 (2)	0.0293 (2)	0.0266 (3)	0.0027 (2)	0.0152 (2)	0.0066 (2)
Br2	0.0294 (3)	0.0360 (3)	0.0327 (4)	0.000	0.0202 (3)	0.000
O1	0.0233 (13)	0.0385 (17)	0.0256 (18)	0.0036 (12)	0.0102 (13)	0.0084 (14)
O2	0.0316 (15)	0.0367 (17)	0.0355 (19)	-0.0076 (13)	0.0254 (15)	-0.0055 (14)
O3	0.0308 (15)	0.0302 (15)	0.042 (2)	-0.0035 (13)	0.0229 (16)	-0.0106 (14)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Eu1—Br1	2.9449 (5)	Eu1—O3 ⁱ	2.388 (3)
Eu1—Br1 ⁱ	2.9449 (5)	O1—H11	0.82 (2)
Eu1—O1	2.424 (3)	O1—H12	0.83 (2)
Eu1—O1 ⁱ	2.424 (3)	O2—H21	0.82 (2)
Eu1—O2	2.422 (3)	O2—H22	0.82 (2)
Eu1—O2 ⁱ	2.422 (3)	O3—H31	0.83 (2)
Eu1—O3	2.388 (3)	O3—H32	0.83 (2)
Br1—Eu1—O1	146.89 (8)	O1—Eu1—O2	72.6 (1)
Br1 ⁱ —Eu1—O1 ⁱ	146.89 (8)	O1 ⁱ —Eu1—O2 ⁱ	72.6 (1)
Br1—Eu1—O1 ⁱ	76.21 (9)	O1—Eu1—O2 ⁱ	122.0 (1)
Br1 ⁱ —Eu1—O1	76.21 (9)	O1 ⁱ —Eu1—O2	122.0 (1)
Br1—Eu1—O2	77.33 (8)	O1—Eu1—O3	75.8 (1)
Br1 ⁱ —Eu1—O2 ⁱ	77.33 (8)	O1 ⁱ —Eu1—O3 ⁱ	75.8 (1)
Br1—Eu1—O2 ⁱ	78.22 (8)	O1—Eu1—O3 ⁱ	69.3 (1)
Br1 ⁱ —Eu1—O2	78.22 (8)	O1 ⁱ —Eu1—O3	69.3 (1)
Br1—Eu1—O3	107.21 (9)	O2—Eu1—O3	70.9 (1)
Br1 ⁱ —Eu1—O3 ⁱ	107.21 (9)	O2 ⁱ —Eu1—O3 ⁱ	70.9 (1)
Br1—Eu1—O3 ⁱ	143.18 (8)	O2—Eu1—O3 ⁱ	138.6 (1)
Br1 ⁱ —Eu1—O3	143.18 (8)	O2 ⁱ —Eu1—O3	138.6 (1)
Br1—Eu1—Br1 ⁱ	84.41 (2)	H11—O1—H12	104 (8)
O1—Eu1—O1 ⁱ	132.3 (2)	H21—O2—H22	107 (8)
O2—Eu1—O2 ⁱ	146.8 (2)	H31—O3—H32	112 (8)
O3—Eu1—O3 ⁱ	84.5 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, y, -z+1/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D\cdots H$	$D—H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D—H\cdots A$
O1—H11 \cdots Br2 ⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	2.53 (8)	3.343 (4)	168 (6)
O1—H12 \cdots Br1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83 (2)	2.52 (13)	3.333 (4)	165 (6)
O2—H21 \cdots Br1 ^{iv}	0.82 (2)	2.49 (10)	3.307 (4)	172 (6)

supplementary materials

O2—H22···Br2 ^v	0.83 (2)	2.63 (11)	3.417 (4)	161 (6)
O3—H31···Br1 ^{vi}	0.83 (2)	2.46 (8)	3.288 (4)	173 (6)
O3—H32···Br2	0.83 (2)	2.52 (11)	3.328 (5)	163 (6)

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x, -y+1, -z$; (iii) $x-1, -y, z-1/2$; (iv) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$; (v) $x, y-1, z$; (vi) $x, y+1, z$.

supplementary materials

Fig. 1





Fig. 2



supplementary materials

Fig. 3

